

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,508	10/30/2003	Shirou Nakano	K06-163681M/AT NGB.326	5775
21254	4 7590 09/07/2006		EXAMINER	
MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200			ARTHUR JEANGLAUD, GERTRUDE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, VA	22182-3817		3661	

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary    10/696,508		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Centrude Arthur-Jeanglaude   3651								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension of time may be available under the provious of 37 CFR 1.136(i), in one vent, towers, may a reply be timely filled in the communication of 37 CFR 1.136(i), in one vent, towers, may a reply be timely filled in the provious of 37 CFR 1.136(i). In one vent, towers, may a reply be timely filled in the communication. Failure to reply in specified above, the maining add will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failure to reply the specified above, the maining date of this communication. Failure to reply which the stor extended period for reply is specified above, the maining date of this communication. Failure to reply which the stor extended period for reply is patient, cannot have a supplication. Failure to reply the provision of the supplication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claims(s) 1.25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 1.25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Claim(s) 1.13 and 17.25 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1.13 and 17.25 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1.13 and 17.25 is/are objected to b. The provision of the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to b	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Examination of time may be available under the previouse of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a risply be timely filed.  - Examination of time may be available under the previouse of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a risply be timely filed.  - Examination of the provious of the previous of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a risply be timely filed.  - Failure to risply within the set of extended period for reply will, by shake, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. 5 133).  - Failure to risply within the set of extended period for reply will, by shake, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. 5 133).  - Failure to risply within the set of extended period for reply will, by shake, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. 5 133).  - Failure to risply within the set of extended period for reply will, by shake, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. 5 133).  - Failure to risply within the set of extended period for reply will, by shake, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. 5 133).  - Failure to risply within the set of extended period for reply will, by shake, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. 5 133).  - Failure to risply within the set of extended period for reply will, by shake, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. 5 13).  - Failure to risply within the set of extended period for reply will, by shake, cause the application to non-final.  - The set of this application is in condition for all the form on consideration.  - Failure to risply the failure to reply the set of failure to reply the failure to reply the set of failure to reply the failure to reply th		Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude	3661					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3/CFR 1-13(b), in no event, however, may a reply be limity fled  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maxing of the off this communication of the provision of the control of the communication		pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editariosis of time raps be available under the provides of 37 ER1 13(6), the nevent, however, may a reply be limely filed and resix (6) MONTIS from the mailing date of his communication.  Failure to reply vision the set or ended period for reply will by datatic cause the supplication of the communication.  Failure to reply vision the set or ended period for reply will by datatic cause the supplication become ARANDHOE (30 U.S. C. § 133).  Any reply received by the to effect later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seated period to receive the supplication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ○ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are rejected.  7) ○ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are rejected.  7) ○ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to.  2 ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 ○ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 November 2005.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☑ Claim(s) 16 is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are rejected.  7) ☑ Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 15 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 15 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of.  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) objection for a list of the certified copies not received.	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 16 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are are explicated to.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-143)  Paper Notice of Professors Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 16 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are are explicated to.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-143)  Paper Notice of Professors Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 N	lovember 2005						
3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4 ☐ Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 16 is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are rejected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are rejected. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are rejected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are objected to. 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1 ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) or PTO/SB08) 5 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on are subject to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-143) Paper No(s/Mail Date	· <u> </u>	,—						
Al) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)	·							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)	·							
5) ☐ Claim(s) 16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s)								
7)  Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 99 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· <u>-</u>							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to.							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1. ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1. ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<del>_</del>							
3) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
	3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13, 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sano (U.S. Patent No. 5,116,254) in view of Uenuma et al. (U.S. Patent No. 20030055545).

Sano et al discloses a steering apparatus for vehicles comprising a steering mechanism (2, 3) for turning a steerable tired-wheel, a steering actuator (8) for providing a steering force to the steering mechanism (2, 3), a load detecting unit (26, 27, 28, 29) for detecting a tire load, which is a load applied to a tke of a vehicle (100), and a steering control unit (12) for controlling the steering actuator according to the tire load detected by the load detecting unit. See figures 1, 8, and 11 – 12.

Sano et al also discloses that the load detecting unit comprises an air pressure detecting unit (16, 17, 18, 19) for detecting the air pressure of the tire. See figures 8, 9, and 10. In addition, Sano et al discloses that the load detecting unit comprises a stress detecting unit for detecting a stress applied to the tire and that the stress detecting unit preferably includes a left side stress detecting unit and a right side stress

Art Unit: 3661

detecting unit for detecting stresses applied to the left side and the right side of the tire respectively when viewed toward the direction of travel of the vehicle. See figures 4-7 and 13-18 and column 2.

Furthermore, Sano et al discloses a steering direction detecting unit for detecting the steering direction of the vehicle, wherein the steering control unit controls the steering actuator based on the steering direction of the vehicle detected by the steering direction detecting unit and the stresses detected by the left side stress detecting unit and the right side stress detecting unit, respectively. See columns 7, 8, and 10.

According also to Sano et al, the vehicle steering apparatus comprises a reaction force actuator for providing an operation reaction force to the operating member (figure 1 and column 4), a load detecting unit for detecting the tire load which is applied to the tire of the vehicle (figure 12), and a reaction force control unit for controlling the reaction force actuator according to the tire load detected by the load detecting unit (figures 11, 12 and columns 14-15).

Sano et al further discloses an actuator for applying a force to the operating member for transmitting information to the driver, at least one sensor for detecting the physical amount relating to the movement of the vehicle and . outputting the detection signal according to the detected result, a signal analyzing unit for analyzing the detected signal output by the sensor and supplying an analytical solution, and a control unit for controlling the actuator based on the analytical solution supplied from the signal analyzing unit. See figures 1-2, 5, 8-9 and 11-12.

Art Unit: 3661

Sano et al. fail to specifically disclose a steer by wire system or a steering actuator for providing a steering force to the steering mechanism. In an analogous art, Uenuma et al. disclose a vehicle steering control system wherein it discloses a steering actuator for providing a steering force to the steering mechanism (See paragraph 0011). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Sano with that of Uenuma et al. by having a steering actuator for providing a steering force to the steering mechanism in order to provide an accurate information on a roughness degree of road surface.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections) under 35 U.S.C. 1 12, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 16 is allowed.

The prior art do not particularly disclose that the reaction force control unit controls the reaction force actuator based on the steering direction of the vehicle detected by the steering direction detecting unit. and the stresses applied on the outer portions of the tire when viewed in the direction of travel detected by the left side stress detecting unit and the right side stress detecting unit. The prior art also fail to particularly disclose a first determining unit for determining whether

Art Unit: 3661

or not the analytical solution analyzed by the signal analyzing unit conforms a predetermined first reference condition, and a teaching unit for providing, when the analytic solution of the signal analyzing unit conforms the first reference condition, a teaching corresponding to the result of determination to the driver; and a second determining unit for determining whether or not the analytical solution analyzed by the signal analyzing unit conforms a predetermined second reference condition, wherein when the analytic solution of the signal analyzing unit is determined to conform the second reference condition by the second determining unit, the control unit controls the actuator according to the analytic solution.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude whose telephone number is (571) 272-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,508

Art Unit: 3661

508 Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

gaj

GERTRUDE A. JEANGLAUDE PRIMARY EXAMINER